

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/091,567 | 03/07/2002 | Jonathan P. Wong | NEL-006 | 7851 |
| 23353 7 | 590 08/24/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| RADER FISHMAN & GRAUER PLLC | | | HILL, MYRON G | |
| LION BUILDING 1233 20TH STREET N.W., SUITE 501 WASHINGTON, DC 20036 | |)] | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1648 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| . Advisory Action | 10/091,567 | WONG ET AL. | | | | |
| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Myron G. Hill | 1648 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>01 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | ALLOWANCE. | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF | ce, which FR 41.31; or (3) | | | |
| a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | s of the date of e appeal. Since | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause | | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | · · | ducing or simplifying t | the issues for | | | |
| appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | ected claims. | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). | | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | lowable if submitted in a separate, | timely filed amendme | nt canceling the | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended. | ll be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-32</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1 | ls to provide a). | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but | t does NOT place the application ir | n condition for allowan | ice because: | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N | lo(s) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitation in claims 20 and 28 "rotaevaporation" require further consideration and search.

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600